

CURRENT THREATS TO FINANCIAL STABILITY

Igor M. Tomic, St. John's University, New York, USA

My presentation at the GBRS in Rome reviewed the reasons that help construct the Dodd-Frank Act. In addition, it focused on the current threats to financial stability.

Although failures of large financial institutions cause tremors to the economy of a nation, the complication with the bankruptcy of Lehman Brothers deepened the understanding of the complexity of the damage it caused. For that reason the attention in the Dodd-Frank was paid to fortify financial institutions and assured their stability by stress testing and 'living wills.'

Furthermore, the damage of the Great Recession pointed that our monetary policy that is designed to provide price stability and full employment does not guaranty financial stability. That meant that we need some new tools to assure that the financial institutions remain stable. This was only possible by developing macroprudential policies whose purpose is to strengthen the financial system so that it can absorb a sudden shock while continuing to perform its daily activities.

Since the Great Recession several macroprudential tools were developed to address financial stability. But are there any other threats that still presents a threat to our financial stability? Below a short description of the macroprudential tools are presented, along with their shortcomings and challenges that remain to be resolved.

Stress tests

Stress tests assure that the largest and complex financial institutions can continue activities in times of financial stress (Brainard, 2018). Stress testing is forward looking to anticipate rapidly changing risks and business conditions. Liquidity is a critical component of financial condition for regulators; From 2011 the largest banking firms increased their holding of high liquid assets from 12% to 20% in 2017. That is a good result but more work is required as the 2017 stress test results note the eight largest and most complex banking organizations (SIFI) had still some deficiencies.

Resolution planning - living wills

Until this year bank holding companies with assets greater than \$50 billion as well as non-banks (identified by FOSC) were required to submit resolution plans to unwind operations in an orderly fashion in the event of bankruptcy. These plans or living wills are submitted to the Federal Reserve and the FDIC. Regulator concerns noted a need for clear identification of unwind procedures to avoid movement of assets among legal entities to allow orderly bankruptcy without legal challenges. The added complexity of resolution planning are that they have to change as firm's activities, structure, and risk profile change.

There are challenges that remain: What if market conditions make it difficult for liquidation to occur? It is doubtful that much more than one SIFI or G-SIB failure can be wound down speedily

in order to stabilize the financial system. So far the discussion of multiple failures at the same time did not take place, but it should be considered.

On May 24, 2018, the *Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief and Consumer Protection Act* became law. It brought the reduction of regulatory burden for smaller, less complex firms presently subject to supervisory stress testing, e.g. regional banks. The threshold for enhanced supervision for SIFIs was raised to \$250 bn. This results in 12 large financial firms out of 38, continuing under stringent monitoring. However, the FRB is authorized to apply any prudential standard to bank holding companies with total assets of at least \$100 bn.

Regulation is disruptive and costly, and as not all institutions are complex it is not fair to treat them the same as the larger ones. Yet, the knowledge that relaxing regulation has often taken place before financial downturns is worrisome.

Countercyclical buffer (CCyB)

CCyB, part of Basel III and approved as policy by the FRB, applies to firms with more than \$250 billion in assets, to absorb shocks in declining credit conditions. In turn, when the conditions that caused the application of such buffers change, these buffers would be removed or reduced (FRB, Press Release, 2016). The application of CCyB intended to be gradual, not to be disruptive. The FRB will vote at least once per year to assess whether CCyB are needed. However, CCyB have not been activated yet in the US.

The only challenge with CCyB is that we do not have any experience in using it or at what specific point to apply it. No doubt the discussion on this topic will continue.

Market structure

Market structures of firms change over time due to the impact of technology and changing business models. That could become disruptive to the market functioning efficiently; there is a danger of intensity of concentration leading to an increase in oligopoly power. With financial firms there are two additional concerns: Financial disruption and government regulation.

While the issue of market structure may not rise to be a major concern among market participants, there are situations where lack of substitutability, having a solitary provider of a service, can cause a market disruption. For example, in a market for settlements of US Treasury securities and repurchase agreements this role was played by JP Morgan Chase & Co, and Bank of New York Mellon Corp. In July 2016, JP Morgan Chase exited this business leaving BNY Mellon as the sole provider of this service. In the market for triparty repo transactions, an important source of funding for large banks and other financial firms, BNY Mellon will remain the sole operator. In the event of financial stress, BNY Mellon would leave its clients to seek alternative funding sources in disrupted markets, thus creating a liquidity squeeze.

Conclusion

Banks and large financial institutions are in better shape when compared to 2006, due to the policies and tools implemented under the Dodd-Frank Act. Result: financial institutions can withstand an economic crisis much better than before. Some threats continue to exist, as

mentioned in this presentation, but over time they could be addressed, for much more focus is placed on assuring financial stability. New regulation that modifies the Dodd-Frank Act does focus easing the regulatory burden for smaller financial institutions. This is consistent with the need to review regulation ex post as evidence points to some elements of over regulation. However, our experience from the Great Recession should be enough to warn us against further removals of tools that have contributed to a higher level of financial stability.

References

- Acemoglu D, A. Malekian, and A. Ozdaglar (2013), “Network security and Contagion,” NBER, Working Paper, No. 19174.
- Akinci, O. and J. Olmstead-Rumsey. 2015 (1). “How Effective are Macroprudential Policies? An Empirical Investigation.” Federal Reserve Board. International Finance Discussion Papers 1136. Bank of Canada.
- Appelbaum, Binyamin (2015),”Skepticism Prevails on Preventing Crisis,” NYT, B1, 10/5/2015
- Bernanke, Ben S, and Mark Gertler, 2001, “Should Central Banks Respond to Movements in Asset Prices?” American Economic Review, Vol. 91, May, pp.253-57.
- Bernanke, Ben S. 2009. "Financial Reform to Address Systemic Risk." <http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/speech/bernanke20090310a.htm>, Speech at the Council on Foreign Relations, March 10.
- Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 2017, Joint Press Release: “Agencies announce joint determination for loving wills”, December 19.
- Borio, C., W. B. English, and A.J. Filardo (2003),”A Tale of two Perspectives: Old or New Challenges for Monetary Policy?” BIS Working Paper 114, (Basel: Bank for International Settlements), February.
- Cecchetti, Steven, Hans Genberg, John Lipsky, and Sushil Wadhvani (2000), “Asset Prices and Central Bank Policy,” Geneva Report on World Economy No. 2(London: Centre for Economic Policy Research, July).
- Central Bank of Ireland (2015), “Informational Note: Restrictions on Residential Mortgage Lending,” January.
- Cerutti, E., S. Claessens and L. Laeven. 2015. “The Use and Effectiveness of Macroprudential Policies: New Evidence.” IMF Working Paper No. 2015/61.
- Claessens, Stijn, M. Ayhan Kose, and Marko E. Terrones (2014), “The global Financial Crisis: How Similar? How Different? How Costly,” Financial Crisis: Causes, Consequences, and

Policy Responses, edited by Claessens, Stijn, M. Ayhan Kose, Luc Laeven, and Fabian Valencia, International Monetary Fund.

Cunningham, Rose and Christian Friedrich, 2016,” The Role of Central Banks in Promoting Financial Stability: An International Perspective.” Bank of Canada Staff Discussion Paper 2016-15, Bank of Canada, July.

Dell-Ariccia, Giovanni, Deniz Igan, Luc Laeven, and Hui Tong (2014), “Policies for Macro-Financial Stability: Dealing with Credit Booms and Busts,” Financial Crisis: Causes, Consequences, and Policy Responses, edited by Claessens, Stijn, M. Ayhan Kose, Luc Laeven, and Fabian Valencia, International Monetary Fund.

European Central Bank (2017), Financial Stability Review, May.

Federal Advisory Council and Board of Governors, minutes of meeting on Friday, December 4, 2015.

Federal Reserve Bank, 2016, Press Release: Federal Reserve Bank approves final policy Statement detailing framework for Setting Countercyclical Capital Buffer, September 8.

Financial Stability Oversight Council (2016), Annual Report.

Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission (2011), *Financial Crisis Inquiry Report*, Public Affairs, New York.

Financial Stability Oversight Council (2016), Annual Report.

Financial Stability Oversight Council (2017), Annual Report.

Fisher, Stanley (2014), “Financial Sector reform: How Far Are We,” *NBER Reporter*, No. 3.

Fisher, Stanley (2015), “Nonbank Financial Intermediaries, Financial Stability, and the Road Forward,” remarks at 20th Annual Financial Markets Conference, FRB Atlanta, March 30.

Fleming, Michael and Asani Sarkar (2014), “The Failure Resolution of Lehman Brothers,” *Economic Policy Review*, Federal Reserve Bank of New York, Vol. 20, No. 2, March, pp 28-29.

Gerardi, Kristopher, Andreas Lehnert, Shane M. Sherlund, and Paul Willen. 2008. "Making Sense of the Subprime Crisis." Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, Fall, pp. 69-160.
http://www.brookings.edu/economics/bpea/bpea_conferencepapers_fall2008.aspx

Glaeser, Edward L., Joseph Gyourko, and Albert Saiz, 2008,"Housing supply and housing bubbles." *Journal of Urban Economics* 64.2 pp. 198-217.

Greenspan, Alan (2002), “Opening Remarks, “Rethinking Stabilization Policy,” Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City Economic Symposium, 1-10.

- Hahm, Joom-Ho, Frederic S. Mishlin, Hyun Song Shin, Kwanho Shin (2012), “Macroprudential Policies in Open Emerging Economies,” NBER Working Paper Series, NBER working paper 17780, <http://www.nber.org/papers/w17780>
- Hendershott, Patric, Robert Hendershott, and James Shilling, 2010. "The mortgage finance bubble: Causes and corrections." *Journal of Housing Research* 19.1 pp. 1-16.
- International Monetary Fund (2017), Global Financial Stability Report, October.
- Jain, Chaman L. and Igor M. Tomic (1995), *Essentials of Monetary and Fiscal Economics*, Graceway Publishing Company, New York.
- Jeanne, Oliver, and Anton Korinek (2014),”Macroprudential Policy beyond Banking Regulation,” Banque de France, Financial Stability Review, No.18, April.
- Jorda, Oscar, Moritz Schularic, and Alan M.Taylor,”When Credit Bites Back: Leverage, Business Cycles, and Crisis, FRB San Francisco, Working Paper Series, WP 2011-27, October, 2012
- Keeley, Brian and Patrick Love (2010), “From Crisis to Recovery: The Causes, Course and Consequences of the Great Recession,” OECD Insights, OECD.
- Kindelberger, Charles P. (1978), *Manias, Panics, and Crashes: A History of Financial Crisis* (New York: Basic Books).
- Keeley, B. and P. Love (2011), “From Crisis to Recovery: The Causes, Course and Consequences of the Great Recession”, *OECD Insights*, OECD, Paris, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264077072-en>.
- Kohn, Donald, 2006, “Monetary Policy and Asset Prices,” a speech delivered at a Colloquium of the European Central Bank, Frankfurt, March 16.
- Mishkin, Frederic, S., 2008, “Wither Federal Reserve Communication” a speech at the Peterson Institute for International Economics, Washington, DC, July 28.
- Mishkin, Frederic, S., 2010, “Monetary Policy Flexibility, Risk Management, and Financial Disruptions, *Journal of Asian Economics*, June, pp. 242-246.
- Office of Financial Research, 2017, Annual Report
Office of Financial Research, 2014, Annual Report
Office of Financial Research, 2015, Annual Report
- Office of Financial Research, 2017, *OFR Viewpoint*, 17-04, October 26.

- Reinhart, Carmen, M. and Kenneth S. Rogoff, 2009, “This time is Different: Eight Centuries of Financial Folly,” Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Reinhart, Carmen, M. and Vincent R. Rainhart, 2010, “After the Fall,” Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City Economic Symposium – Macroeconomic Challenges: The Decade Ahead. <http://www.kansascityfed.org/publication/sympos/2010/reinhart-paper.pdf>
- Stiglitz, Joseph E. (2010), “Interpreting the Causes of the Great Recession of 2008,” Gsb.columbia.edu
- Svensson, L. E. O. (2014), “Inflation Targeting and ‘Leaning against the Wind.’” International Journal of Central Banking, 10(2), pages 103–114.
- Trehan, Barat, 2009, “Part 1: Do Coming Crisis Cast their Shadow Before?” Federal Reserve Bank San Francisco, Economic Letter, September 21.
- US Government Accountability Office, GAO Highlights (2015) “Bank Regulation: Lessons Learned and a Framework for Monitoring Emerging Risks and Regulatory Response,” June.,
- Yellen, Janet (2011), Commodity Prices, the Economic Outlook, and Monetary Policy. April 11, <https://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/speech/yellen20110411a.htm>
- White, William, 2004, “Making Macroprudential Concerns Operational,” speech delivered at a Financial Stability Symposium organized by the Netherlands Bank, Amsterdam, October 26, <https://www.bis.org/speeches/sp041026.htm>
- William, John C. (2015), “Macroprudential Policy in a Microprudential World,” Symposium on Asian Banking and Finance, Singapore, May 28.